

# Semiparametrics

Introduction

Asymptotic normality

Two-step estimators

Semiparametric two-step estimators

Inference

# Introduction

## Example: Robinson

$$Y_i = X_i\beta + g(Z_i) + u_i$$

and

$$E(u_i|X_i, Z_i) = 0.$$

The parameter of interest is  $\beta$ .

## Robinson estimator: idea

- ▶ Take the conditional expectation with respect to  $X_i$ :

$$E(Y_i|Z_i) = E(X_i|Z_i)\beta + g(Z_i)$$

- ▶ Subtract:

$$Y_i - E(Y_i|Z_i) = (X_i - E(X_i|Z_i))\beta + (g(Z_i) - g(Z_i)) + u_i$$
$$\tilde{Y}_i = \tilde{X}_i\beta + u_i$$

- ▶ If the conditional expectations were known, use

$$\hat{\beta}^* = (\tilde{X}'_i \tilde{X}_i)^{-1} \tilde{X}_i \tilde{Y}_i$$

- ▶ In practice: estimate  $\hat{E}(Y_i|Z_i)$  and  $\hat{E}(X_i|Z_i)$  and call the resulting estimator  $\hat{\beta}$

## Example (2): Klein and Spady

[see previous slides]

## Problem

Our standard proof for the consistency and asymptotic normality of extremum estimators does not cover these new estimators.

Asymptotic normality



## Review: setup

An extremum estimator is defined as

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} Q_n(\theta)$$

for some real-valued function  $Q_n$  of the data  $\{z_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and a parameter  $\theta$  from the space of parameters under consideration  $\Theta$ .

# Consistency

If the following conditions hold,

1.  $Q_0(\theta_0) > Q_0(\theta)$  for any  $\theta \in \Theta, \theta \neq \theta_0$
2.  $Q_0$  is continuous on  $\Theta$
3.  $\Theta$  is compact
4.  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |Q_n(\theta) - Q_0(\theta)| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ .

then  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0$ .

# Asymptotic normality

Suppose that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is consistent,

1.  $\theta_0 \in \text{interior}(\Theta)$
2.  $Q_n$  is twice differentiable in a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\theta_0$
3.  $\sqrt{n}\nabla Q_n(\theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$
4. there is a function  $H(\theta)$  and  $H = H(\theta_0)$  such that
  - ▶  $\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{N}} \|\nabla_{\theta\theta} Q_n(\theta) - H(\theta)\| \xrightarrow{p} 0$
  - ▶ that is continuous and invertible at  $\theta_0$

then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, H^{-1}\Sigma H^{-1})$$

## AN (2)

To show the above, note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_{\theta} Q_n(\hat{\theta}_n) \\ &= \nabla_{\theta} Q_n(\theta_0) + \nabla_{\theta\theta} Q_n(\tilde{\theta}_n)(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \end{aligned}$$

where the

- ▶ first equality sets  $\theta_n$  as FOC solution
- ▶ the second uses assumption 2
- ▶ with a mean value of  $\tilde{\theta}_n$

## AN (3)

- ▶ pick  $n$  large enough so that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  (and therefore  $\tilde{\theta}_n$ ) is in  $\mathcal{N}$
- ▶ by continuity,  $\nabla_{\theta\theta}$  is invertible
- ▶ then:

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) &= -(\nabla_{\theta\theta} Q_n(\tilde{\theta}_n))^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} Q_n(\theta_0) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} H^{-1} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(0, H^{-1} \Sigma H^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

where

- ▶ the first equality rewrites the result of the previous slide, assuming invertibility of the Hessian
- ▶ the limit follows from
  - ▶ the ULLN (condition 4)
  - ▶ the CLT (condition 2)

## Two-step estimators

## Setup

- ▶ specialize extremum estimation to M-estimation
- ▶ two parameters,  $(\theta, \gamma)$ .
- ▶ Consider the following two-step estimator:

1. In a first stage, estimate nuisance parameter  $\gamma$  from

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m(z_i, \gamma) = 0$$

2. The second step estimation is from

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(z_i, \theta, \hat{\gamma}) = 0$$

## One-step

Two-step estimation can be written as a one-step:

- ▶ moments:  $\tilde{g} = (g, m)$
- ▶ parameters:  $\tilde{\theta} = (\theta, \gamma)$

Previous results go through: if  $\tilde{g}$  satisfies the AN conditions, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, V)$$

where

$$V = G_{\theta}^{-1} E [(g(z) + G_{\gamma}\psi(z))(g(z) + G_{\gamma}\psi(z))'] G_{\theta}^{-1}$$



# Conclusion

1. Asymptotic normality goes through
2. Some notation:

$$G_{\theta} = E[\nabla_{\theta} g(z, \theta_0, \gamma_0)]$$

$$G_{\gamma} = E[\nabla_{\gamma} g(z, \theta_0, \gamma_0)]$$

$$g(z) = g(z, \theta_0, \gamma_0)$$

$$M = E[\nabla_{\gamma} m(z, \gamma_0)]$$

$$\psi(z) = -M^{-1}m(z, \gamma_0)$$

3. If  $G_{\gamma} = 0$  then  $V$  is equal to that for known- $\gamma_0$
4.  $G_{\gamma}\psi(z) = -G_{\gamma}M^{-1}m$  is an adjust term that accounts for variance due to first step estimation

## Semiparametric two-step estimators

## Setup

Changing notation to Newey (1994), whose exposition (Section 5) we will follow, specialized to the just-identified case.

1. In a first stage, estimate nuisance parameter  $h$  by a **nonparametric** estimator  $\hat{h}$
2. The second step estimation is from

$$\hat{m}_n(z_i, \beta, \hat{h}) = 0$$

where  $\hat{m}_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m(z_i, \beta, \hat{h})$ .

## Results

I follow the exposition in Newey (1994), Section 5, specialized to the just-identified case.

Informally speaking, if we have:

1. uniform convergence of  $\hat{m}_n(\beta_0)$  and  $\partial \hat{m}_n(\beta_0)/\partial \beta$
2. asymptotic normality of  $\sqrt{n}\hat{m}_n(\beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Omega)$
3. consistency of  $\hat{\beta}_n$

then we can do the usual mean-value expansion and

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, V),$$

where

$$V = M^{-1}\Omega(M')^{-1}$$

$$M = E[\partial m(z, \beta_0, h_0)/\partial \beta]$$

# Asymptotic normality

- ▶ Start with asymptotic normality of  $\sqrt{n}\hat{m}_n(\beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Omega)$
- ▶ We need to control:
  - ▶ smoothness of  $m$
  - ▶ estimation error in  $\hat{h}$

## Linearization

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be an appropriate norm, such as the Sobolev norm.

**Assumption 1.** For a small enough  $\|h - h_0\|^2$ , we have (i)  $\|m(z, h) - m(z, h_0) - D(z, h - h_0)\| \leq b(z)\|h - h_0\|^2$  and (ii)  $E(b(z))\sqrt{n}\|\hat{h} - h_0\|^2 \xrightarrow{P} 0$ .

- ▶ implies Frechet-differentiability of  $m$  in  $h$ : the objective function depends smoothly on  $h$
- ▶ think of  $D(z, h - h_0)$  as the derivative (“ $D(z)\|\hat{h} - h_0\|$ ”)
- ▶ convergence rates for  $h$  should be faster than  $n^{-1/4}$ 
  - ▶ choose bandwidth appropriately
  - ▶ or: require enough smoothness (increasing in first step's dimension)

# Stochastic equicontinuity

**Assumption 2.** Stochastic equicontinuity:

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (D(z_i, \hat{h} - h_0) - \int D(z, \hat{h} - h_0) dF_0) \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

- ▶ imposes smoothness on  $m$

# Uniform convergence

Stochastic equicontinuity is important in econometrics. If the parameter space is compact, then

pointwise convergence and stochastic equicontinuity  $\Leftrightarrow$  uniform convergence

- ▶ replaces Jennrich's ULLN (Lemma 2.4 in NM'94)
- ▶ used in NM'94 for nonsmooth objective functions
- ▶ primitive conditions in Andrews (94) - chapter after NM
- ▶ literature on **empirical processes** provides larger toolbox for uniform convergence (e.g. vdVaart, Ch 18+19+20+25)



## Continuity

**Assumption 3.** (i) there exists an  $a(z)$  and a measure  $\hat{F}$  such that  $E(a(z)) = 0$  and  $Var(a(z)) < \infty$  such that for small enough  $\|\hat{h} - h_0\|$ :

$$\int D(z, \hat{h} - h_0) dF_0 = \int a(z) d\hat{F}$$

(ii) for the EDC  $\tilde{F}$ ,  $\sqrt{n}(\int a(z) d\hat{F} - \int a(z) d\tilde{F}) \xrightarrow{P} 0$

- ▶ think  $\hat{F} = \tilde{F}$  for part (i)
- ▶  $a(z)$  is a pathwise (Gateaux-like) derivative in the Hilbert space of functions that is the first step parameter space
- ▶ if  $a(z) = 0$  (which happens when  $E(D(z, h - h_0)) = 0$ ) then first step estimation does not affect  $\hat{\beta}$ 
  - ▶ interpretation: linearity of  $m$  in  $h$ 
    - ▶ Imbens, Newey, Ridder (2003)
    - ▶ Chernozhukov, Escanciano, Ichimura, Newey (2016)

# Asymptotic normality

**Lemma 1.** Under Assumptions 1-3,

$$\sqrt{n}\hat{m}_n(\beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Omega)$$

with

$$\Omega = E[(m(z, h_0) + a(z))(m(z, h_0) + a(z))'].$$

- ▶ Done: asymptotic normality
- ▶ To do:
  - ▶ consistency of  $\hat{\beta}$
  - ▶ uniform convergence

## Consistency

**Assumptions 4 and 5.** There exists  $\epsilon$ ,  $\|h\|$ ,  $b(z)$  and  $\tilde{b}(z)$  such that: (i) w.p. 1,  $m$  is continuous in  $\beta$  on the parameter space  $\mathcal{B}$ ; (ii)  $\|m(z, \beta, h_0)\| \leq b(z)$ ; (iii)  $\|m(z, \beta, h) - m(z, \beta, h_0)\| \leq \tilde{b}(z)\|h - h_0\|^\epsilon$ ; (iv)  $E(m(z, \beta, h_0))$  has a unique solution at  $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ ;  $\mathcal{B}$  is compact.

**Lemma 2.** If Assumptions 4 and 5 hold, and  $\|\hat{h} - h_0\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ , then  $\hat{\beta} - \beta_0 \xrightarrow{P} 0$ .

- ▶ Conditions as in one-step
  - ▶ id / compactness / continuity / boundedness
  - ▶ strengthened by consistency of  $\hat{h}$
  - ▶ bound effect that  $\hat{h}$  can have on  $m$

# Overview

- ▶ Done:
  - ▶ consistency of  $\hat{\beta}$
  - ▶ asymptotic normality of  $\sqrt{n}\hat{m}_n(\beta_0)$
- ▶ To do:
  - ▶ uniform convergence

## Uniform convergence

**Assumption 6.** (i)  $\beta_0$  is in the interior of  $\mathcal{B}$ ; (ii) there is  $\|h\|, \epsilon > 0$  and a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{N}$  such that for all  $\|h - h_0\| < \epsilon$ ,  $m(z, \beta, h)$  is differentiable in  $\beta$  on  $\mathcal{N}$ ; (iii)  $M$  is invertible; (iv)  $E[\|m(z, \beta_0, h_0)\|^2] < \infty$ ; (v) Assumption 4 (i)-(iii) applies to  $\partial m / \partial \beta$ .

**Lemma 2.** If Assumptions 1-6 hold, and  $\|\hat{h} - h_0\| \xrightarrow{P} 0$  then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, V)$$

Inference

# Inference

- ▶ How to construct standard errors, do hypothesis testing?
- ▶ Focus on semiparametric two-step estimation (nests parametric and one-step)
- ▶ Define

$$\hat{M} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial m(z_i, \hat{\beta}, \hat{h})}{\partial \beta}$$

$$\hat{\Omega} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n ([m(z_i, \hat{\beta}, \hat{h}) + \hat{a}(z_i)][m(z_i, \hat{\beta}, \hat{h}) + \hat{a}(z_i)]')$$

- ▶ Newey, Lemma 5.4 provides conditions under which  $\hat{V} \xrightarrow{P} V$
- ▶ Then use

$$\hat{V}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$

for inference

## Inference (2)

Problem:  $a(z_i)$  can be hard to obtain.

- ▶ it results from projection in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space

Solutions:

1. use insights in Newey (1994), Sections 2-4, special cases in 6-7
2. use Ichimura and Newey (2015), who propose a very clever use of the Gateaux derivative
3. use Ackerberg, Chen, and Hahn (2012), who show that - if you use sieves - you can use standard errors from parametric two-step
4. use the **bootstrap**



# Bootstrap

Will do in an application.